



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. BOX 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/779,939	02/09/2001	Bob Tang	4135	
7590 06/16/2004			EXAMINER	
Tang, Bob			SHAH, CHIRAG G	
17 Albert Road London, N4 3RR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
UNITED KINGDOM			2664	
			DATE MAILED: 06/16/2004	ク

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•	09/779,939	TANG, BOB			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Chirag G Shah	2664			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed  will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 Fe	bruary 2001.				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	<u> </u>				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-2 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•				
10) $\boxtimes$ The drawing(s) filed on <u>2/9/01</u> is/are: a) $\boxtimes$ accepted or b) $\square$ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of</li> </ul>	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)	_				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Dat	PTO-413)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 2664

#### DETAILED ACTION

### Claim Objections

1. Claim1 objected to because of the following informalities: The limitation may not be disclosed within parentheses as in claim 1, lines 3-5 and thus, the limitation within the parentheses has not been considered. Appropriate correction is required.

#### Abstract

The abstract of the disclosure does not commence on a separate sheet in accordance with 37 CFR 1.52(b)(4). A new abstract of the disclosure is required and must be presented on a separate sheet, apart from any other text. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the abstract contains more than one paragraph.

Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

### Specification

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

## Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.

Art Unit: 2664

(d) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)

- (e) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
  - (1) Field of the Invention.
  - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (f) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (g) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (h) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (i) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (j) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claim 1 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hiller et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,365,524).
- 4. Referring to claim 1, Hiller et al discloses in the abstract, claims 1 and 3, figures

  1-3, and column 1, lines 65 to column 2, lines 31a method whereby an Internet Time

  Multiplexed Circuit Connection is established enabling data communication at both ends

Art Unit: 2664

all nodes (ATM virtual circuits/access switches as disclosed in the abstract/figure 1) between source and destination at predetermined periods (125us) are pre-arranged to automatically switch incoming signals to next node (next virtual circuit) without buffering delay/route computation delay (PCM cells are converted into ATM cells for switching and transmission across network, each cell carries one PCM sample (voice data) of up to 48 different voice connection, the cells are transmitted over ATM virtual circuits, each transmitting one cell every 125us and advantageously, ATM transmission systems interface with PCM systems without adding appreciable delay and without requiring additional buffering as disclosed by Hiller et al in the abstract); establishes a Time Multiplexed Circuit Connection for the whole duration of all the predetermined periods (125us), as in the case where a simplex PSTN dedicated circuit connection (Permanent virtual circuit remains active or does not change as long as the PVC is provisioned, establishing a Time Multiplexed Circuit Connection, as disclosed in column 3, lines 1-37) has been established as claim.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 2 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hiller et al in view of Lakhani et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,721,322).

Referring to claim 2, Hiller et al further discloses in figure 6 and in column 2, lines 1-24 that voice signals are transmitted to and from a common broadband platform

Art Unit: 2664

(CBP) for switching ATM cells, using such cells transmitted at a repetition rate that is the same or a sub-multiple of repetition rate of the PCM signals. Hiller et al discloses in figure 6 that each CBP has an associated ATM management module (AMM) 535 for recording and controlling the virtual connections established within the connected CBP and each of the CBPs 550 in network 10 perform only a crossconnect function carried out under the control of the connected AMM, thus, ATM management modules functions as a world wide manager. Hiller et al fails to disclose that the caller and receiving side nodes access the manager for circuit connection initializations, monitoring and releasing. Lakhani et al discloses in the figure 2 and in the abstract of a call manager (CM 30) that manages the interfaces of the nodes or circuits and may configure the interfaces to dynamically set up and release switched virtual circuits in response to signal messages form the end offices or the call manager. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of Hiller et al to include the features of CM as disclosed by Lakhani et al in order to dynamically establish virtual circuits via CM's configuration that serves across high usage facilities without increasing latency and overhead onto the network.

## Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

### Or faxed to:

(703)305-3988, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

Art Unit: 2664

(703)305-3988 (for informal or draft communications, please label "Proposed" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2021 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chirag G Shah whose telephone number is 703-305-5639. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wellington Chin can be reached on 703-305-4366. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ajit Patel Primary Examiner

cgs June 10, 2004